Received 15 March 2002 Accepted 19 March 2002

Online 28 March 2002

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

William Clegg,^a* Grant Bourhill^b† and Ian Sage^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, England, and ^bSensors and Electronics Division, E-911, QinetiQ, Malvern WR14 3PS, England

+ Present address: Sharp Labs of Europe, Edmund Halley Road, Oxford Science Park, Oxford OX4 4GB, England

Correspondence e-mail: w.clegg@ncl.ac.uk

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 160 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.004 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.019 wR factor = 0.047 Data-to-parameter ratio = 21.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. Hexakis(antipyrine-O)terbium(III) triiodide at 160 K: confirmation of a centrosymmetric structure for a brilliantly triboluminescent complex

The title complex, $[\text{Tb}(\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_2\text{O})_6]\text{I}_3$, has essentially the same structure at 160 K as at room temperature, and is clearly centrosymmetric without any disorder, contrary to some proposals regarding the possible mechanism of tribolumin-escence. Terbium has an octahedral coordination and lies in a position of $\overline{3}$ symmetry, with all six antipyrine ligands crystallographically equivalent. One iodide anion lies in a special position of site symmetry $\overline{3}$, and another is on a threefold rotation axis, to give three iodide anions per terbium-centred complex cation.

Comment

Triboluminescence is the property whereby some crystalline solid materials emit light upon fracture. It has been known for centuries, and is exhibited by a wide range of materials including such common substances as sucrose. Many of the materials known to be triboluminescent display only weak emission on fracture, but there are some materials for which the effect is so strong that the emitted light can clearly be seen in broad daylight. Notable among these are numerous lanthanide complexes. These are of considerable current interest as potential damage sensors in composite and other materials (Sage & Bourhill, 2001; Sage *et al.*, 1999).



The mechanism (or mechanisms) of triboluminescence is poorly understood, and there is considerable debate over the requirement or otherwise for a non-centrosymmetric crystal structure in order for the phenomenon to be observed. Although numerous centrosymmetric structures have been reported, even for strongly triboluminescent materials (Sweeting & Rheingold, 1987; Rheingold & King, 1989; Chen *et al.*, 1998; Chen *et al.*, 1999; Chen *et al.*, 2000; Chen *et al.*, 2001), these have often included structural disorder, to which appeal has been made as a possible cause of triboluminescent

© 2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Figure 1

The cation structure of (I) with atom labels and 50% probability ellipsoids for non-H atoms.

activity, though without any convincing explanation of how this could operate. In the case of one particular europium complex, an initial report of a disordered centrosymmetric structure (space group I2/a; Sweeting & Rheingold, 1987) has more recently been refuted, with a correction to a noncentrosymmetric structure (space group Ia) with a lower degree of disorder (Cotton *et al.*, 2001). These authors imply that similar revisions may be necessary for other complexes.

The title complex, (I), is brilliantly triboluminescent. Its crystal structure, determined at room temperature, was reported by Rheingold & King (1989), following an earlier study by Van Uitert & Soden (1962). The space group, $R\overline{3}$, is one that can not be distinguished from a non-centrosymmetric group, R3, on the basis of systematic absences, and statistical tests on the distribution of intensities are unreliable in the presence of a very heavy atom such as terbium. There were, however, no indications of disorder or other features suggestive of a possible incorrect space-group assignment.

As part of a study of triboluminescent lanthanide complexes with potential application as structural damage sensors, including the variation of structure with conditions, we have redetermined the structure of the title complex at reduced temperature. The results are of markedly greater precision, and they show negligible residual electron density, unexceptional atomic displacements, and a total absence of any indication of disorder (Fig. 1); we have a very high level of confidence in the assignment of the centrosymmetric space group, and the material appears to be of high purity. The origin of the brilliant triboluminescence does not lie in noncentrosymmetry or in structural disorder, and the phenomenon must be explained in some other way. Further research is in progress to investigate this. The complex cation has all six ligands equivalent by symmetry, the Tb ion lying in a special position of site symmetry $\overline{3}$, with essentially ideal octahedral coordination geometry (Table 1). There are two crystallographically independent iodide anions: one has site symmetry $\overline{3}$, and the other lies on a threefold rotation axis.

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen & Kennard, 1993) provides information on over thirty structures containing antipyrine as a ligand or other component. These include numerous co-crystals of antipyrine with lanthanide nitrates as well as other metal salts and complexes. The triperchlorate salt analogous to the title complex is isomorphous, with disorder in the anions and in the methyl groups of antipyrine (Su *et al.*, 2000), and the yttrium complex with iodide anions is fully isostructural (Baker & Jeffery, 1974).

Experimental

The title complex was prepared by a method described previously (Soden, 1961; Wilke-Dörfurt & Schliephake, 1928).

Crystal data

[Tb(C11H12N2O)6]I3 Mo Ka radiation $M_r = 1668.98$ Cell parameters from 12573 Rhombohedral (on hexagonal reflections $\theta=3.0\text{--}28.9^\circ$ axes), R3 $\mu = 2.40 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ a = 13.7474(3) Å c = 31.9658 (14) ÅT = 160 (2) KV = 5231.9 (3) Å³ Block, colourless Z = 3 $0.50 \times 0.40 \times 0.35 \text{ mm}$ $D_r = 1.589 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Data collection Bruker SMART 1K CCD 2945 independent reflections diffractometer 2692 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ω rotation with narrow frames $R_{\rm int} = 0.020$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.9^{\circ}$ Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1997a) $h=-17 \rightarrow 17$ $T_{\min} = 0.387, T_{\max} = 0.492$ $k = -18 \rightarrow 18$ 15672 measured reflections $l = -43 \rightarrow 43$ Refinement Refinement on F^2 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0204P)^2]$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.019$ + 8.1659P] where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $wR(F^2) = 0.047$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ S = 1.102945 reflections $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.35 \text{ e Å}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.77 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 137 parameters

H-atom parameters constrained

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Tb-O1	2.2367 (11)	N2-C1	1.381 (2)
O1-C1	1.261 (2)	C1-C2	1.406 (2)
N1-N2	1.385 (2)	C2-C3	1.370 (3)
N1-C3	1.347 (3)		
O1-Tb-O1 ⁱ	87.75 (4)	O1-C1-N2	120.96 (15)
O1-Tb-O1 ⁱⁱ	92.25 (4)	O1-C1-C2	132.34 (17)
O1-Tb-O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	180.0	N2 - C1 - C2	106.65 (15)
Tb-O1-C1	170.00 (12)	C1-C2-C3	107.11 (18)
N2-N1-C3	107.60 (15)	N1-C3-C2	109.97 (17)
N1-N2-C1	108.47 (14)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + y, -x, z; (ii) y, -x + y, -z; (iii) -x, -y, -z.

H atoms were placed geometrically and refined with a riding model (including free rotation about C-C bonds), and with U_{iso}

Extinction correction: SHELXTL

Extinction coefficient: 0.00009 (3)

constrained to be 1.2 (1.5 for methyl groups) times $U_{\rm eq}$ of the carrier atom.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1997); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997b); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and local programs.

This work was supported by Technology Group 4 of the UK MoD's corporate research programme. We also thank the EPSRC for financial support.

References

Allen, F. H. & Kennard, O. (1993). Chem. Des. Autom. News, 8, 1, 31-37.

- Baker, R. W. & Jeffery, J. W. (1974). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 229–232. Bruker (1997). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chen, X.-F., Duan, C.-Y., Zhu, X.-H., You, X.-Z., Raj, S. S. S., Fun, H.-K. & Wu, J. (2001). *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 72, 11–15.

- Chen, X.-F., Liu, S.-H., Duan, C.-Y., Xu, Y.-H., You, X.-Z., Ma, J. & Min, N.-B. (1998). *Polyhedron*, **17**, 1883–1889.
- Chen, X.-F., Liu, S.-H., Yu, Z., Cheung, K. K., Ma, J., Min, N.-B. & You, X.-Z. (1999). J. Coord. Chem. 47, 349–358.
- Chen, X.-F., Zhu, X.-H., Chen, W., Vittal, J. J., Tan, G.-K., Wu, J. & You, X.-Z. (2000). J. Coord. Chem. 52, 97–110.
- Cotton, F. A., Daniels, L. M. & Huang, P. (2001). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 4, 319–321.
- Rheingold, A. L. & King, W. (1989). Inorg. Chem. 28, 1715-1719.
- Sage, I., Badcock, R., Humberstone, L., Geddes, N., Kemp, M. & Bourhill, G. (1999). Smart Mater. Struct. 8, 504–510.
- Sage, I. & Bourhill, G. (2001). J. Mater. Chem. 11, 231-245.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997a). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997b). SHELXTL. Version 5. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Soden, R. R. (1961). J. Appl. Phys. 32, 750-751.
- Su, C.-Y., Yang, X.-P., Xu, A.-W., Zhang, Z.-F., Liu, H.-K. & Kang, B.-S. (2000). Acta Cryst. C56, e82–e83.
- Sweeting, L. M. & Rheingold, A. L. (1987). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109, 2652– 2658.
- Uitert, L. G. van & Soden, R. R. (1962). J. Chem. Phys. 36, 1797-1800.
- Wilke-Dörfurt, E. & Schliephake, O. (1928). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 170, 129– 144.